JUDICIAL REVIEW AND INFORMATION RIGHTS

Public Law Project Workshop 24th June 2010

What is the interaction between information rights and judicial review? In particular:

- How can judicial review assist in enforcing information rights?
- How can information rights support the process of judicial review?

See generally Maurici in Judicial Review Vol 14 Issue 4, 311-326

INFORMATION RIGHTS

The main rights are these:

• Freedom of Information Act 2000

General right of access to information on request: section 1

But subject to: exemptions in Part II (absolute and qualified); costs limit (section 12); exclusion for vexatious/repeated requests (section 14)

• Environmental Information Regulations 2004

Right of access on request to environmental information: regulation 5

Subject to exceptions in Part 3

- Data Protection Act 1998: subject access, section 7
 - Only extends to your own personal data
 - Available against all data controllers (public and private sector)
 - Extends to all recorded information held by public authorities
- Audit Commission Act 1998

Right of access in connection with audit: section 15

Remedies in relation to information rights:

- FOIA/EIR: complain to Commissioner; appeal to Tribunal
- Problems of delay
- DPA: request assessment from Commissioner (DPA section 42); complain to Court (DPA section 7)
- Difficult overlap of FOI/DPA where requests engage both statutes
- Weakness of enforcement of the DPA subject access right
- Audit Commission Act: no statutory enforcement mechanism

JUDICIAL REVIEW IN SUPPORT OF INFORMATION RIGHTS

• FOIA/EIR: little role for judicial review since there are already statutory remedies

See e.g. *R* (Alison Hardy) v Milford Haven Port Authority [2007] EWHC 1883 Admin; [2007] EWCA Civ 1403

• DPA: *R* (Lord) v Secretary of State [2003] EWHC 2073 (Admin)

- Audit Commission Act: role for judicial review
 - R (HTV) v Bristol City Council [2004] 1 WLR 2717 (Admin)
 - Veolia v Nottinghamshire County Council [2009] EWHC 2382 (Admin)

INFORMATION RIGHTS AS AN AID TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

Limitations of disclosure in judicial review:

Tweed v Parades Commission [2006] UKHL 53

Pre-action disclosure? See CPR 31.16.

Possible use of FOI/EIR in support of judicial review:

Bard Campaign, David Bliss v Secretary of State [2009] EWHC 308 (Admin)

But limitations: delay; cumbersome decision-making process

No FOI/EIR fast-track for information that may be relevant to litigation: should there be?

Cf the general promise by the Coalition Govt to strengthen FOI

<u>CHANGING APPROACHES TO DISCLOSURE OF POLICY-RELATED MATERIAL</u> <u>OR ADVICE TO MINISTERS</u>

Changing approach to disclosure of this kind of material in judicial review proceedings:

R (NASH) v Secretary of State [2005] EWCA Civ 154

Cf the willingness to disclose this kind of material under FOIA/EIR:

- *DfES v Information Commissioner* EA/2006/ Section 35 exemption in FOIA for policy-related information
- *Baker v Information Commissioner* EA/2006/0043 EIR disclosure of submissions to Minister re a planning application
- Cabinet Office v Information Commissioner EA/2008/0073
 Policy advice re A8 accession

TIMOTHY PITT-PAYNE QC